

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,039	11/21/2003		Marc A. Kaplan	YOR919992024US2	3159
23405	7590	11/18/2004		EXAMINER	
		BERG FARLEY	PRIETO, BEATRIZ		
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE ALBANY, NY 12203				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2142	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/719,039	KAPLAN ET AL.					
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Prieto Beatriz .	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-23,30-34 and 45-49</u> is/are allowe 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14, 24-29, 35-44</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration. d.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the specific part of the	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the contract of the contract	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is in response to Application No. 10/719,039 filed 11/21/03, which is a divisional of U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/322,521, filed May 28, 1999, now patent No. 6,681,220 issued 1/20/04. Claims 1-49 have been examined.
- 2. Claims 15-23, 30-34 and 45-49 are allowable.

Claim Rejection

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-14, 24-29 and 35-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krishna (US 5,412,804).

Regarding claim 1, Krishna teaches a method including performing operations on data "message streams" in a "message" data processing system (col 1/lines 15-19 and col 5/lines 10-13), where the operations are performed arranged in a particular order (col 2/lines 9-29), including a sequence of operations between nodes (e.g. Fig. 9) in the data processing system (col 6/lines 30-38, 52-54), further including

converting the first operation sequence having a operation (called "select") followed by a second operation (called "select"), into an equivalent operation sequence comprising a single operation (called "select") (col 14/lines 32-58).

Regarding claim 2, the first operation sequence further comprises a first function (called "transform operation") (col 1/lines 53-56 and col 12/lines 16-18), followed by a second transform operation (col 18/lines 16-21) and wherein the second equivalent operation sequence comprises a single transform operation (col 14/lines 32-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,039 (KAPLAN)

Art Unit: 2142

Regarding claim 3, formulating alternative order of execution, i.e. "reorganizing" message processing operations in a message processing system comprising using the arranging method of claim 1 (col 4/lies 4-65 and 2/lines 36-51).

Regarding claim 4, wherein said reorganizing is facilitated by an automated processing system (col 4/lines 57-65).

Regarding claim 5, a method for arranging an information flow graph descriptive of message processing operations for a method processing system, comprising using the arranging method of claim 1 on said information flow query graph (col 6/lines 19-38, 52-54).

Regarding claim 6, wherein said method for arranging the information flow graph is performed on an automated processing system and wherein the information flow graph comprises "information", i.e. instructions or a program tangibly stored on media in said automated processing system (col 4/lines 57-65).

Regarding claim 7, reducing a number of calculations required to determined the second equivalent operation sequence by storing results of any common sub expressions thereof and using said stored instead of recalculating the common sub expressions (col 2/lines 36-45).

Regarding claim 8, including limitation discussed on claim 1, and further a first operation sequence having a first multiple predicates including transform operations, i.e. a transform operation followed by a second transform operation (col 18/lines 15-21), the method comprising: converting the first operation sequence into a second equivalent operation sequence comprising a single transform operation (col 14/lines 32-58).

Regarding claim 9, this claim is substantially the same as claim 1, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claims 10-14, these claims are substantially the same as claims 3-7, respectively, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,039 (KAPLAN)

Art Unit: 2142

Regarding claims 24-26, these claims correspond to the system claims comprising the means associated to method claims 1-2 and 7, discussed above, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claims 27-29, these claims correspond to the system claims comprising the means associated to method claims 8-9 and 14, discussed above, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claim 35, comprises limitation substantially the same a claim 1, same rationale of rejection is applied thereon; further comprising a first sequence having a aggregated operation followed by a select operation (col 2/lines 19-45), converting the first operation sequence into a second equivalent operation comprising a select operation followed by a transform operation (col 14/lines 32-58).

Regarding claims 36-37, joining multiple select predicated into an equivalent select operation and joining multiple aggregated function into an equivalent aggregated function (col 17/lines 25-40, col 18/lines 15-26).

Regarding claim 38, this claim correspond to the article of manufacture comprising a computer readable usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for performing the method claim 7, discussed above, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claims 39-41, these claims correspond to the article of manufacture comprising a computer readable usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for performing the method claims 1-2 and 7, discussed above, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Regarding claims 42-44, correspond to the article of manufacture comprising a computer readable usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for performing the claims 35-38, discussed above, same rationale of rejection is applicable.

Citation of Pertinent Art:

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Copies of Non-Patent Literature documents cited will be provided as set forth in MPEP§ 707.05(a):

(US 5,367,675) CHENG et. al. teach re-organizing message processing operations in a message processing system; converting the first operation sequence into a second equivalent operation sequence; and reducing a number of calculations required to determined the second equivalent operation sequence by storing results of any common query block "sub expressions" thereof and using said stored instead of recalculating the common sub expressions.

(US 5,659,725) LEVY et. al. teach reducing a number of calculations, by minimizing the number or constraints, i.e. predicates required to determined the second equivalent operation sequence by storing results of any common sub expressions thereof and using said stored instead of recalculating the common sub expressions; pushing predicates down the query graph, into query blocks that are computed earlier during evaluation; and further teaching moving predicates into other nodes of the query graph.

Access Path Selection in a Relational Database Management System, Selinger et. al., IBM Research Div. San Jose, California, ACM 0-8791-001-X/79/0500-023, 1979, pages 23-34.

Selinger et. al. teaches converting a first operation sequence comprising many query blocks each SELECT statements, wherein a single SQL statement is equivalent to the first operation sequence.

Interchange GROUPBY and Join Distributed Query Processing, Weipeng Paul Yan, pages 823-831

Yan teaches aggregation functions (COUNT, MIN, MAX, SUM, AVG) in a SELECT clause may be combined or expressed as in conjunctive form forming an equivalent query expression.

Optimization of nested SQL queries revisited, Ganski & Wong, International Conference on Management of Data, Proceedings of the 1987, ACM SIGMOD, ISSN: 0163-5808, pages 23-33.

Ganski et. al. teach a first operation sequence comprising a plurality of predicated including SELECT operations and Aggregated functions, transforming the first operation sequence to convert the first operation sequence into a single SELECT clause of the outermost query block by combining the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,039 (KAPLAN)

Art Unit: 2142

FROM clauses of all query blocks into one FROM clause, and AND all WHERE clauses of all query

Page 5

blocks.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Beatriz Prieto whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Jack B. Harvey

can be reached on (571) 272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-6606. Any inquiry of a general nature or

relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist

whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained fro the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, status information for published application

may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR, for unpublished application Private PAIR

only (see http://pair-drect.uspto.gov or the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Patent Examiner

November 15, 2004